Roux-en-Y hepaticojejunostomy: An evaluation of its indications and results in benign and malignant biliary tree disease
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ABSTRACT

Background: Roux-en-Y Hepaticojejunostomy (RYHJ) is the most common form of reconstruction of the biliary pathway. It is a time honoured, durable, less resource intensive and a definite procedure.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate the indications of Hepaticojejunostomy and to assess the outcome of surgery following change in technique of Hepaticojejunostomy.

Methods: All patients who underwent RYHJ from Magh 2067 (January 2011) till Ashad 2071 (July 2014) in a single surgical unit at the Department of Surgery, Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital were included. Demographics of the patient, indications for surgery, type of surgery, hospital stay and duration of drain placement were evaluated. Since, this is a prospective descriptive study, only mean value was calculated for age, hospital stay and duration of drain placement using SPSS Statistics 17.0 for statistical analysis.

Results: Twenty patients underwent RYHJ during the study period. Fifteen percent (n=3) were done for malignant diseases. The most common indication was choledocholithiasis (n=8, 40%) followed by choledochal cyst (n=3, 15%) and bile duct injury (n=3, 15%). The morbidity was minimal. The mean duration of drain in situ was four days (range one to 14 days) and the mean hospital stay was six days (range two to 15 days). Prolonged drain placement and hospital stay was noted in two patients with malignant diseases. However, they were non bilious in nature. We encountered no mortality.

Conclusion: Roux-en-Y Hepaticojejunostomy (RYHJ) is a common and safe method of biliary reconstruction. The indication of the procedure is varied and wide.
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INTRODUCTION

Roux-en-Y Hepaticojejunostomy (RYHJ) is a common procedure. With high success rates reported early in the century¹-⁴ and continued legacy of its safety, it is the most common form of reconstruction of the biliary pathway. The indication of the procedure has been varied and wide¹. With the advancement of interventional radiology (e.g. transhepatic stenting), endoscopic procedure (e.g. ERCP stenting) and popularity of other bilioenteric anastomosis such as choledochoduodenostomy, cholecystoduodenostomy, hepaticoduodenostomy (HD), choledochocholedochal anastomosis, the use of RYHJ has been challenged. However, it continues to be a time honoured, durable, less resource intensive and a definitive procedure⁵. It is likely to be more commonly practiced. Refinement of the surgical technique of RYHJ has enhanced its popularity further. We evaluated our patients who underwent RYHJ for various indications. Our aim was to evaluate the indications of RYHJ and to assess the outcome of surgery following change in surgical technique of RYHJ.

METHODS

All patients who underwent RYHJ from Magh 2067 (January 2011) till Ashad 2071 (July 2014) in a single surgical unit at the Department of surgery, Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital were prospectively included in our study. The data collected included age, gender, indications for surgery, type of surgery, hospital stay and duration of drain placement. Since, this is a prospective descriptive study, only mean value was calculated for age, hospital stay and duration of
drain placement using SPSS Statistics 17.0 for statistical analysis. For homogeneity, we excluded all RYHJs done as a part of a Whipples procedure. Ethical clearance and informed consent from the patients were taken.

All patients underwent CECT (triphasic) abdomen or MRCP preoperatively for evaluation of the biliary anatomy. Surgical technique has evolved. In this series, surgical steps included adequate exposure of the porta. A well vascularized, roux-en-Y, mucosa to mucosa, tension free RYHJ was done. The roux en Y limb was transected at 30-35 cm from the ligament of Treitz. Monofilament small size suture (5-0 PDS) was used under loupe magnification (X2.5). Abdominal drains were placed at the discretion of the operating surgeon. All patients were managed postoperatively with IV fluids, analgesics and antibiotics. Early ambulation and per oral nutrition (POD-1) was initiated for all.

RESULTS
Twenty patients underwent RYHJ during Magh 2067 (January 2011) till Ashad 2071 (July 2014) in a single surgical unit at the Department of Surgery, Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital. There were nine males (45%) and 11 females (55%). The mean age of the patients was 50 years. The age ranged from 22 to 73 years. The indications of RYHJ were varied but mostly consisted of benign diseases (85%, n=17) (Figure 1). Fifteen percent (n=3) were done for malignant diseases. The most common indication was choledocholithiasis (n=8, 40%) followed by choledochal cyst (n=3, 15%) and bile duct injury (n=3, 15%). Choledocholithiasis required a biliary reconstruction due to presence of associated conditions such as choledochoduodenal fistula or choledochocele or because it was a primary choledocholithiasis (Table 1).

The mean hospital stay was six days (range 2-15 days) (Figure 2). Hospital stay was longest for patients with malignant diseases. An elderly patient with hilar cholangiocarcinoma had a prolonged stay (14 days) due to associated medical comorbidity. The other patient with prolonged hospital stay had cystic duct carcinoma. She recovered well from her surgery except for prolonged non bilious drain output. She stayed in the hospital due to logistical reasons (resident of rural area).

Abdominal drain were not kept for 20% (n=4) of patients (Figure 3). There were no incidences of bile leak in any of our patients (with or without abdominal drain). In those who had abdominal drains, the mean duration of drain in situ was four days, ranging from one to fourteen days. Prolonged drain placement was noted in two patients with malignant diseases. However, they were non bilious in nature. One patient was discharged with abdominal drain in situ. Her drain was removed in her follow up. Hence, RYHJ is indicated in various conditions. The morbidity is minimal. We encountered no mortality.

Table 1: All indications of Hepaticojejunostomy in the study group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benign diseases</th>
<th>Malignant diseases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis With Choledochocele</td>
<td>Incidental Carcinoma Gall Bladder (In Situ) With Positive Cystic Duct Margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis, CHD Calculi, Chronic Calculus</td>
<td>Hilarcholangiocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholecystitis With Cholecystocholedochal Fistula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis - Primary</td>
<td>Cystic Duct Carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis - Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledochal Cyst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Cholecystectomy Status With Distal CBD Stricture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis - Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Cholecystectomy Biliary Stricture, Bismuth Type II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis - Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepaticolithiasis With Cholelithiasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile Duct Injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledochal Cyst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledochal Cyst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Calculus Cholecystitis/ Miririzzi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choledocholithiasis With Benign CBD Growth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepaticolithiasis, CBD Calculi With Cholecystoduoneal Fistula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Indications for Hepaticojejunostomy

Figure 2: Duration of hospital stay (days) among the patients.

Figure 3: Duration of drain placement (days) among the patients.
DISCUSSION
Roux-en-Y Hepaticojejunostomy (RYHJ) is a common procedure. Despite the advancement of interventional radiology (e.g. transhepatic stenting), endoscopic procedure (e.g. ERCP stenting), and popularity of other bilioenteric anastomosis such as choledochoduodenostomy, cholecystoduodenostomy, hepaticocoduodenostomy (HD), choledochocholedochal anastomosis; RYHJ is the most common form of management of the pathology of biliary tree. RYHJ removes the diseased bile duct and allows a well vascularized biliary and enteric stoma for anastomosis. Unlike duodenum, the use of a jejunum allows a tension free anastomosis with the least incidence of biliary reflux and stricture formation.

In the literature, RYHJ has been done for varied benign diseases such as bile duct injury (BDI), biliary fibrosis due to chronic pancreatitis, penetrating trauma of the porta hepatis, previous bilioenteric anastomosis with subsequent stricture formation, choledochal cyst, other causes of iatrogenic biliary trauma such as gastrectomy, pancreatic and hepatic resection, portal decompressive procedures and liver transplantation. Malignant diseases for which RYHJ has been done include cholangiocarcinoma, carcinoma gall bladder infiltrating the common bile duct or hepatic ducts.

During our study period 20 RYHJs were done. Most RYHJ were done for benign diseases. The most common indication for RYHJ in our study was choledocholithiasis. It is traditional to perform RYHJ in presence of primary calculi, markedly dilated CBD (>2 cm), stricture or stenosis of the distal bile duct or inability to remove all stones from the duct. In our study, choledocholithiasis was associated with cholecystoduodenal fistula, choledochocole or were primary calculi. RYHJ for primary choledocholithiasis still remains a controversy. Some authors such as Girard et al who reported 69 patients with retained and recurrent bile duct stones, did not perform RYHJ.

We performed RYHJ for three Choledochal cyst, which is described as the treatment of choice for type I, IV and selected type V choledochal cyst. The excision of the extrahepatic cyst is essential and the biliary continuity is best corrected with a RYHJ. Shimotakahara et al compared RYHJ to hepaticocoduodenostomy (HD) after the excision of choledochal cyst and found superior results with RYHJ. The complications including endoscopy proven bilious gastritis, cholangitis and adhesive bowel obstruction were higher after HD (42%) compared to 7.1% after RYHJ.

There were three bile duct injury (BDI) in our study for which RYHJ was done. RYHJ is the recommended method of reconstruction of biliary path (Blumgart) after BDI (Strasberg Type E). Results of repair of BDI is influenced by various factors such as type of BDI, associated sepsis and comorbidities. Though RYHJ is the recommended method, some authors have reported better results with end to end ductal anastomosis and others with hepaticocoduodenostomy.

We performed two RYHJs for hepaticolithiasis. Many authors advocate RYHJ as an important step in the management of hepaticolithiasis though, mostly literature is controversial. Li SQ et al observed higher rate of retained stones and cholangitis in their patients with RYHJ than compared to choledochotomy and T-tube placement and suggested selective use of RYHJ. They advocate RYHJ when hepaticolithiasis is associated with complicated extrahepatic ducts or secondary branch stricture, congenital bile duct stricture and dysfunction of the papilla of vater. Liver resection is done in the presence of liver atrophy, intrahepatic biliary stenosis or unilobular severe liver fibrosis. Herman et al performed liver resection in 41 patients with hepaticolithiasis and observed good long term results with liver resection alone than when associated with RYHJ. Complications included cholangitis, recurrent stones and liver abscess. However, we performed RYHJ alone in our patients and observed no complications. Appropriate selection of patients with hepaticolithiasis for RYHJ is perhaps important.

LuCB et al reported good results with RYHJ in six patients with Bismuth type II hilar cholangiocarcinoma. Our experience was similar with hilar cholangiocarcinoma. The indication of RYHJ in Carcinoma of the gall bladder includes cystic ductal margin positivity. Performing RYHJ, allows a R0 resection. We met with two such cases for which RYHJ was successfully performed.

RYHJ continues to be a time honoured and durable procedure and is likely to be more commonly practiced. High success rates (>90% with long term follow up) were reported early in the century. Initially, the indications were restricted, due to high operative mortality and difficult surgical technique. Wider and successful use of RYHJ may be credited to sound knowledge of the biliary anatomy (MRCP/ERCP), meticulous surgical technique and improved postoperative care.

Previously, surgical technique of RYHJ included, use of two layer anastomosis, and inappropriate length of
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Refinement of surgical technique including adequate exposure, healthy well vascularized biliary stump, retrocolic, tension free, mucosa to mucosa RYHJ using non absorbable sutures (PDS) and an adequate length of the roux loop has improved the perioperative morbidity and mortality. Though traditionally, 50-70 cm roux loop is constructed, recent evidences report feasibility of short roux limb (20 cm). A short lim allows easier performance of endoscopic biliary intervention when indicated.

Our study also confirms the safety of the procedure. In our study, drains were generally removed by day four of surgery and in 20% of patients, no drain were placed intraoperatively. We did not have any incidence of re-insertion of abdominal drain. The hospital stay and duration of drain placement were comparable to most other studies. Anastomotic stricture has been reported by some authors. Some have attributed it to roux loop and some to the consequence of the primary disease. We will require a long term follow up to assess the occurrence of anastomotic stricture. Peptic ulceration was previously reported in 7-13% of patients. It has been attributed to gastric hypersecretion and absence of neutralization of gastric juices following RYHJ. The occurrence of peptic ulcer has greatly reduced due to better postoperative management with proton pump inhibitors. Complications such as cholangitis, bile leak, biliary fistula, biliary peritonitis and recurrent cholangitis and retained stone in calculous diseases have also been reported.

Rothlin et al reported a postoperative complication rate of 33%, late complication rate of 25% in 51 patients who underwent RYHJ for benign diseases of the bile duct. Pappalarado et al reported a postoperative mortality of 4.6% and morbidity of 13%. Stefanni et al reported a mortality rate of 3.7-6.6%. The operative mortality has been on the decline. In our own series, there was no mortality. Despite the literature suggesting such complications, we did not witness any in our patients. It may be due to our small study population and long term results are yet to be seen. Hence, RYHJ is a surgical procedure with varied indications and good results.

CONCLUSION

Roux-en-Y Hepaticojejunostomy is a common and safe method of biliary reconstruction. The indication of the procedure has been varied and wide. Refinement of the surgical technique of RYHJ has decreased its morbidity and mortality.
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